



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alcxandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,223	08/04/2003	Chris Tengwall	12838/3	6507	
26646 75	90 04/18/2006		EXAM	INER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP			SHERKAT, AREZOO		
ONE BROADV NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2131		
·			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/634,223	TENGWALL ET AL.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Arezoo Sherkat	2131 crrespondence address			
Period fo						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 March 2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	Γhis action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	•					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 10/6/03&8/22/05.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2131

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/19/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 9-18, and 22-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Little et al., (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0171369 and Little hereinafter).

3. Regarding claims 1, 16-18, and 34-38, Little discloses a system for transmitting data stored in at least one database and processed by a server arrangement to at least one handheld wireless device (i.e., mobile device 888 and 898) that receives data from a wireless carrier network (i.e., wireless networks 884 and 886), the system comprising:

a relay arrangement for routing the data for transmission over the wireless carrier network (i.e., wireless connector system 878), and a firewall arrangement (i.e., firewall 808) that provides security for the data, the server arrangement (i.e., message server 820) and the relay arrangement, wherein the relay arrangement is arranged behind the firewall arrangement and is configured to push the data from behind the firewall arrangement to the at least one handheld wireless device (page 15, par. 0132-0137).

- 4. Regarding claims 2, 11, and 33, Little discloses wherein the data includes at least one of e-mail data and PIM data (page 15, par. 0136-0137).
- 5. Regarding claims 3, 9, and 13, Little discloses wherein the at least one handheld wireless device receives encryption data wirelessly (pages 2-3, par. 0022-0033).
- 6. Regarding claim 4, Little discloses wherein the database includes at least one of an e-mail server (i.e., message server 720) and a database server (i.e., datastore 717)(page 14, par. 0124-0128).
- 7. Regarding claims 10 and 12, Little discloses a method for transmitting data, comprising:

storing data in a database, retrieving the at least one data from the database via a server arrangement, processing the data in the server arrangement, sending the data to a relay arrangement, processing the data in the relay arrangement arranged behind a

Art Unit: 2131

firewall arrangement and pushing the data from the relay arrangement from behind the firewall arrangement to the at least one handheld wireless device, the firewall arrangement providing security for the data, the server arrangement and the relay arrangement receiving the data at the at least one wireless carrier network, processing the data in the at least one wireless carrier network, sending the data to the at least one handheld wireless device, receiving the data at the at least one handheld wireless device, and processing the data in the handheld wireless device (pages 10-11, par. 0102-0108).

Page 4

8. Regarding claims 14 and 24, Little discloses an apparatus to route data for transmission over a wireless carrier network, comprising:

a first arrangement to relay data stored in at least one database and processed by a server arrangement to at least one wireless device that receives data from a wireless carrier network, the first arrangement contigured to be arranged behind a firewall arrangement that provides security for the data, the server arrangement and the first arrangement wherein the first arrangement is configured to push the data from behind the firewall arranaement to the at least one wireless device (page 15, par. 0132-0137).

9. Regarding claims 15 and 26, Little discloses a system for transmitting data stored in at least one database to at least one wireless device, comprising:

a relay arrangement to route the data for transmission over a wireless canier network,

the relay arrangement configured to communicate with the at least one wireless device via a firewall arrangement that provides security for the data and the relay arrangement wherein the relay arrangement is conficured to oush the data from behind the firewall arrangement to the at least one wireless device (page 15, par. 0132-0137).

Page 5

- 10. Regarding claims 22 and 30, Little discloses wherein the relay arrangement includes at least two parts, at least one of which shares a common hardware platform with the server arrangement (page 15, par. 0132-0137 and page 11, par. 0107).
- 11. Regarding claims 23, and 28-29, Little discloses wherein the relay arrangement is configured to route the data over the wireless carrier network (page 15, par. 0132-0137).
- 12. Regarding claims 25, 27, and 31, Little discloses wherein the first arrangement is configured to transmit the data to a particular one of the at least one wireless device only when the particular wireless device is available to receive the data (page 11, par. 0105-0106).
- 13. Regarding claim 32, Little discloses wherein the relay arrangement is configured to store the data if the at least one wireless device is not available to receive the data. (page 11, par. 0105-0106).

Application/Control Number: 10/634,223 Page 6

Art Unit: 2131

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Little et al., (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0171369 and Little hereinafter), in view of Bommareddy et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,779,039 and Bommareddy hereinafter).

- 20. Teachings of Little with respect to limitation of claims 1, 10, and 14 have been discussed previously.
- 21. Regarding claims 5 and 7, Little does not expressly disclose a redundant server arrangement for the server arrangement.

However, Bommareddy discloses a redundant server arrangement for the server arrangement (col. 2, lines 1-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the certificate management method and system of Little by including a redundant server arrangement for the server arrangement as disclosed by Bommareddy. This modification would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the

suggestion of Bommareddy to improve both reliability and scalability of operations in comparison to single server operation (Bommareddy, col. 2, lines 1-10).

22. Regarding claims 6 and 8, Little does not expressly disclose a redundant relay arrangement for the relay arrangement.

However, Bommareddy discloses a redundant relay arrangement for the relay arrangement (i.e., clustering units)(col. 5, lines 33-67 and col. 6, lines 10-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the certificate management method and system of Little by including a redundant relay arrangement for the relay arrangement as disclosed by Bommareddy. This modification would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the suggestion of Bommareddy to to avoid difficulties that arise with a single point of failure (Bommareddy, col. 2, lines 57-65).

23. Regarding claims 19-21, Little does not expressly disclose further comprising: monitoring the relay arrangement including the routing of the data from the relay arrangement.

However, Bommareddy discloses further comprising: monitoring the relay arrangement including the routing of the data from the relay arrangement (col. 6, lines 30-67 and col. 7, lines 1-32).

Application/Control Number: 10/634,223 Page 8

Art Unit: 2131

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the certificate management method and system of Little by including monitoring the relay arrangement including the routing of the data from the relay arrangement as disclosed by Bommareddy. This modification would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the suggestion of Bommareddy to improve both reliability and scalability of operations in comparison to single server operation (Bommareddy, col. 2, lines 1-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

24. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 10, 15, 24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for "routing the data", does not reasonably provide enablement for "pushing the data". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification does not provide support for the system, method, and apparatus claimed in the instant application to specifically "configure the relay arrangement to PUSH the data from behind the firewall arrangement to the at least one handheld wireless device".

Application/Control Number: 10/634,223

Art Unit: 2131

Conclusion

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arezoo Sherkat whose telephone number is (571) 272-3796. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRISTOPHER REVAK PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 9

A.S.

Patent Examiner

Group 2131

April 13, 2006